

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

LEO MAY,

Plaintiff

V.

VIRTUOSO SOURCING GROUP,
INC.,

Defendant

Case No.: 1:14-cv-00226

APPLICATION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT

**AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO ENTER DEFAULT OF
VIRTUOSO SOURCING GROUP, INC. PURSUANT
TO FED. R. CIV. P. 55(a)**

Craig Thor Kimmel states that the following are true and correct under penalties of perjury:

1. I am an attorney for Plaintiff in this action.
2. On May 30, 2014, Virtuoso Sourcing Group, Inc. (“Virtuoso”) was properly served the summons and complaint. See Docket Entry 4.
3. On June 27, 2014, the Proof of Service was filed with the Court. See Docket Entry 4.
4. Virtuoso has failed to serve and file an answer to the Complaint or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

1 5. Under Rule 12(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the time
2 limit for responding to the Complaint has now expired, and the time has not been
3 extended by an stipulation of the parties or any Order of the Court.
4

5 6. Virtuoso could not be an infant, incompetent, or in the military
6 service because it is a business entity.
7
8
9

10 By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel
11 Craig Thor Kimmel
12 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
13 1207 Delaware Avenue
14 Suite 440
15 Buffalo, NY 14209
16 Tel: (716) 332-6112
17 Fax: (800) 863-1689
18 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

19 DATED: July 17, 2014
20
21
22
23
24
25